# Lightweight Type-Like Hoare-Separation Specs for Java

Tiago Vieira Correia dos Santos

Departamento de Informática Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia Universidade Nova de Lisboa

September 10, 2010



SOFT-PT 2010

### Goals

Type systems are effective but not very precise, while program logics tend to be very precise, but undecidable. This work goals are:

- Develop a lightweight specification language for Java, based on propositional logic;
- Extend the Java compiler, complementing type analysis with program correctness verification according to its specification.



## Outline



- Formal Specification and Verification
- Languages with program verification support
- 2 Lightweight Type-Like Hoare-Separation Specs for Java
  - Expected Results
  - Specification Language
  - Program Verification

### 3 Development

- Implementation
- Challenges
- Future Work



Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

# Formal Specification and Verification

The process of software validation is a difficult problem, benefiting from the use of rigorous methods, preferably based on formalized mathematical techniques (e.g. logic):

• Improve specifications quality, removing ambiguities;

Goals

- Detect errors in advance;
- Correctness, equivalence, termination.

It is a research area for over 40 years and has seen a new impetus recently.

Hoare marked the 40th anniversary of his article writing a new article [Hoare 2009], which discusses the developments that have occurred since that time, and what might still happen.



Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

# Formal Specification and Verification

The use of formal methods is not fully accepted by software developers:

Goals

• Complexity • Cost

It is necessary to address formal methods using lightweight specifications.

Verification techniques grouped in:

- Static Verification
  - Theorem Proving

- Dynamic Verification
  - Code Coverage
  - Model Checking

This work focus is specification verification by static analysis, using theorem proving technique.

Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

### Verification Techniques

- Hoare Logic
  - Flo67, Hoa69, Lam80
- Separation Logic
  - Rey02, OHRY01, PB05
- Weakest Precondition Calculus
  - Dij75, Rey02
- Dynamic Logic
  - Bec01, BK07
- Higher-order Logic
  - HJ00, JP01



Goals

Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

## Verification Techniques

- Hoare Logic
  - Flo67, Hoa69, Lam80
- Separation Logic
  - Rey02, OHRY01, PB05
- Weakest Precondition Calculus
  - Dij75, Rey02
- Dynamic Logic
  - Bec01, BK07
- Higher-order Logic
  - HJ00, JP01

Our approach focus on Weakest Precondition Calculus and is inspired by Dual Intuitionistic Linear Logic and Separation Logic

Dual Hoare-Separation Logic



Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

# Languages with verification support

There are lots of tools that provide support for program verification:

ESC/Java2
JACK
KRAKATOA
KeY
Forge

Goals

Languages have also been designed with support for program verification:

Gypsy
Euclid
Euclid
Spec#
D



Formal Specification and Verification Languages with program verification support

# Languages with verification support

- Require user intervention (e.g KRAKATOA);
- Don't satisfy correctness and/or completeness (e.g ESC/Java2, Forge);

Goals

- Coverage language limitations;
- Higher specification language expressiveness, but too complex.

Programmers:

- Have little knowledge in logic areas;
- Intend automatic processes, less complex and integrated into program development.



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

Lightweight Type-Like Hoare-Separation Specs for Java

In our approach:

- Specification language similar to JML and Spec#'s but lightweight, based on monadic propositional logic and handles aliasing by separating pure from linear properties
  - No quantifiers
  - No reference to the value of an expression at its precondition (*old*)
- Verification based on weakest precondition calculus, executed directly from Java syntactic constructs
  - Verification Conditions proved using a SMT-Solver



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Expected Results

- Cover as much as possible the features of Java
- Integrate verification in the compile process
  - Approach the checks carried out by type systems to the fully formal logical checks.
- Perform verification automatically without user interaction



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

Goals

# Dual Hoare-Separation Logic

(Dual Formula) (Linear Formula) (Classic Formula) (Bottom) (Binary Formula) (Negation) (Parenthesized Formula) (Predicate Symbols) (Logical Connectives) (Terms) (Constants) (Variables) (Function Symbols)



**SOFT-PT 2010** 

Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

Goals

# Dual Hoare-Separation Logic

$$\begin{split} \psi & ::= \phi + \varphi \\ \varphi & ::= \emptyset \mid \phi \mid \phi * \varphi \\ \phi & ::= \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow & \phi \mid c \phi \\ & \downarrow & \neg \phi \\ & \downarrow & (\phi) \\ & \downarrow & P(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) \\ lc & ::= & \lor \mid \land \mid \Rightarrow \mid \Leftrightarrow \\ t & ::= \\ & c \\ & \downarrow & x \\ & \downarrow & f(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) \end{split}$$

(Dual Formula) (Linear Formula) (Classic Formula) (Bottom) (Binary Formula) (Negation) (Parenthesized Formula) (Predicate Symbols) (Logical Connectives) (Terms) (Constants) (Variables) (Function Symbols)



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

Goals

# Dual Hoare-Separation Logic

$$\begin{split} \psi & ::= & \phi + \varphi \\ \varphi & ::= & \emptyset \mid \phi \mid \phi * \varphi \\ \phi & ::= & \\ & \mid & \phi \mid c \phi \\ & \mid & \neg \phi \\ & \mid & (\phi) \\ & \mid & P(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) \\ lc & ::= & \lor \mid \land \mid \Rightarrow \mid \Leftrightarrow \\ t & ::= & \\ & c \\ & \mid & f(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) \end{split}$$

(Dual Formula) (Linear Formula) (Classic Formula) (Bottom) (Binary Formula) (Negation) (Parenthesized Formula) (Predicate Symbols) (Logical Connectives) (Terms) (Constants) (Variables) (Function Symbols)



**SOFT-PT 2010** 

Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Assertions

D, I	::=	CF + SLF	(Dual Formula)
SLF	::=	CF   CF * SLF	(Sep. Formula)
CF	::=	true   false   CF bop CF   $!CF   b : S$	(Classic Formula)
bop	::=	&&       =>   <=>	(Logical Connectives)
Ь	::=	$fn \mid \mathbf{this} \mid \mathbf{return} \mid pn$	(Properties/States – Target)
S	::=	true   false   pos   neg   zero   null   sn	(Properties/States)
		$pn \in parameter names$ $fn \in field names$ $sn \in state names$	

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Programs

program	::=	classDecl*	(Program)
classDecl	::=	class cn { classMember* }	(Class Declaration)
classMember	::=		(Class Member)
	1	field	(Instance Variable Declaration)
	i	method	(Method Declaration)
	i	constructor	(Constructor Declaration)
	i	classSpec	(Class Specification)
classSpec	::=		(Class Specification)
-		define <i>sn</i> ;	(Abstract Definition)
		define $sn = D$ ;	(Concrete Definition)
	i	invariant D;	(Class Invariant)
field	::=	T fn [= E]?;	(Instance Variable Declaration)
method	::=	modifier T mn(arg) spec { ST }	(Method Declaration)
constructor	::=	modifier cn(arg) spec { ST }	(Constructor Declaration)
modifier	::=	public   static     pure	(Modifiers)
spec	::=		(Procedure Specification)
		requires D	(Precondition)
		ensures D	(Postcondition)
ST	::=		(Statement)
		assume D	(Assume)
	İ	sassert D	(Static Assert)

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Programs

program	::=	classDecl*	(Program)
classDecl	::=	class cn { classMember* }	(Class Declaration)
classMember	::=		(Class Member)
		field	(Instance Variable Declaration)
	İ	method	(Method Declaration)
	i	constructor	(Constructor Declaration)
	i	classSpec	(Class Specification)
classSpec	::=		(Class Specification)
		define sn;	(Abstract Definition)
		define $sn = D$ ;	(Concrete Definition)
	Ì	invariant D;	(Class Invariant)
field	::=	T fn [= E]?;	(Instance Variable Declaration)
method	::=	modifier T mn(arg) spec { ST }	(Method Declaration)
constructor	::=	modifier cn(arg) spec { ST }	(Constructor Declaration)
modifier	::=	public   static     pure	(Modifiers)
spec	::=		(Procedure Specification)
		requires D	(Precondition)
		ensures D	(Postcondition)
ST	::=		(Statement)
		assume D	(Assume)
		sassert D	(Static Assert)

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Programs

program	::=	classDecl*	(Program)
classDecl		class cn { classMember* }	(Class Declaration)
classMember			(Class Member)
classivicinisci	—	field	(Instance Variable Declaration)
		method	(Method Declaration)
		method	(Nethod Declaration)
		constructor	(Constructor Declaration)
		classSpec	(Class Specification)
classSpec	::=		(Class Specification)
		define sn;	(Abstract Definition)
		define $sn = D$ ;	(Concrete Definition)
	i	invariant D;	(Class Invariant)
field	::=	$T \ fn \ [= E]?;$	(Instance Variable Declaration)
method	::=	modifier T mn(arg) spec { ST }	(Method Declaration)
constructor	::=	modifier cn(arg) spec { ST }	(Constructor Declaration)
modifier	::=	public   static     pure	(Modifiers)
spec	::=		(Procedure Specification)
		requires D	(Precondition)
		ensures D	(Postcondition)
ST	::=		(Statement)
	1	assume D	(Assume)
	i	sassert D	(Static Ássert)

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Programs

program	::=	classDecl*	(Program)
classDecl	::=	class cn { classMember* }	(Class Declaration)
classMember	::=		(Class Member)
		field	(Instance Variable Declaration)
	i	method	(Method Declaration)
	i	constructor	(Constructor Declaration)
	i	classSpec	(Class Specification)
classSpec	::=		(Class Specification)
		define <i>sn</i> ;	(Abstract Definition)
		define $sn = D$ ;	(Concrete Definition)
	i i	invariant D;	(Class Invariant)
field	::=	T fn [= E]?;	(Instance Variable Declaration)
method	::=	modifier T mn(arg) spec { ST }	(Method Declaration)
constructor	::=	modifier cn(arg) spec { ST }	(Constructor Declaration)
modifier	::=	public   static     pure	(Modifiers)
spec	::=		(Procedure Specification)
		requires D	(Precondition)
		ensures D	(Postcondition)
ST	::=		(Statement)
		assume D	(Assume)
		sassert D	(Static Assert)

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Programs

program	::=	classDecl*	(Program)
classDecl	::=	class cn { classMember* }	(Class Declaration)
classMember	::=		(Class Member)
	1	field	(Instance Variable Declaration)
	i	method	(Method Declaration)
	i	constructor	(Constructor Declaration)
	i	classSpec	(Class Specification)
classSpec	::=		(Class Specification)
		define <i>sn</i> ;	(Abstract Definition)
		define $sn = D$ ;	(Concrete Definition)
	İ	invariant D;	(Class Invariant)
field	::=	$T \ fn \ [= E]?;$	(Instance Variable Declaration)
method	::=	modifier T mn(arg) spec { ST }	(Method Declaration)
constructor	::=	modifier cn(arg) spec { ST }	(Constructor Declaration)
modifier	::=	public   static     pure	(Modifiers)
spec	::=		(Procedure Specification)
		requires D	(Precondition)
		ensures D	(Postcondition)
ST	::=		(Statement)
		assume D	(Assume)
	İ	sassert D	(Static Assert)

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

# Example (1)

```
25
    public class File {
1
                                             26
2
        define open:
                                             27
                                                      public void close()
 3
                                             28
                                                         requires + open
 4
        public File()
                                             29
                                                         ensures + !open
 5
           ensures + !open
                                             30
                                                      {
 6
        {
                                             31
                                                         assume + !open;
 7
           assume + !open;
                                             32
                                                      }
8
        }
                                             33
9
                                             34
                                                      public pure void nothing() {}
10
        public void open()
                                             35
11
           requires + !open
                                             36
                                                      public static void main(
12
           ensures + open
                                             37
                                                             String[] args) {
13
        {
                                             38
                                                         File f = new File();
14
           assume + open;
                                             39
                                                         f.nothing();
15
        }
                                                         f.open();
                                             40
16
                                             41
                                                         f.nothing();
17
        public void write(int b)
                                             42
                                                         f.nothing():
18
           requires + open
                                             43
                                                         f.close();
19
           ensures + open
                                                         f.nothing();
                                             44
20
        { }
                                             45
                                                         f.open():
21
                                                         //f.open(); // Wrong
                                             46
22
        public int read()
                                             47
                                                         f.close();
23
           requires + open
                                             48
                                                         //f.close(); // Wrong
24
           ensures + open
                                             49
                                                      }
25
        { return 0: }
                                                                                  JEORI IM
                                             50
                                                  3
                                                                                 Simpósio de Informática
```

Goals

Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

}

Goals

# Example (2)

```
public class Stack {
1
 2
 3
        private Entry head;
 4
 5
        class Entry {
                                             27
 6
           public Entry(int x)
                                             28
 7
                                             29
               requires x:pos
 8
           { this(x. null): }
                                             30
9
                                             31
10
           public Entry(int x, Entry n)
                                             32
11
                                             33
               requires x:pos
12
           {
                                             34
13
                                             35
               this x = x:
14
               this.next = n;
                                             36
15
           }
                                             37
16
                                             38
17
           private int x;
                                             39
18
           invariant x:pos:
                                             40
19
            private Entry next:
                                             41
20
                                             42
21
            public Entry getNext()
                                             43
22
           { return next; }
                                             44
23
                                             45
24
           public int getElement()
25
               ensures return:pos
26
           { return x; }
27
        }
```

```
public Stack()
{ head = null; }
public void push(int i)
    requires i:pos
    ensures + !head:null
{ head = new Entry(i, head); }
public int pop()
    requires + !head:null
    ensures return:pos
{
    int res = head.getElement();
    head = head.getNext();
    return res;
}
```



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

# Verification Approach

Approach to program verification:

- Apply weakest precondition calculus to every method and constructor body;
- Rewrite properties and Java conditions in propositional logic predicates;
- Submit formulas to a SMT-Solver for validity proof.



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

# WP Calculus

#### Weakest Precondition

Being P a program with postcondition R, then the weakest precondition is represented as:

Goals

wp(P, R)



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### WP Calculus

$$[pure call - pm/pr/po] \\ \begin{pmatrix} k \neq null \land Q_{mnA}[this/k, \overline{p_1}/\overline{y}] \\ \land \\ \begin{pmatrix} k \neq null \\ \land \\ R_{mnA}[this/k, \overline{p_1}/\overline{y}, return/f] \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow C[x/f] \end{pmatrix} \\ wp(x = k.mn(\overline{y}, \overline{z}), C + S) \\ = l_c[this/k] \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Q_{mnB}[this/k, \overline{p_1}/\overline{y}, \overline{p_2}/\overline{z}] \\ \land \\ \langle R_{mnB}[this/k, \overline{p_1}/\overline{y}, \overline{p_2}/\overline{z}] \\ \land \\ \langle R_{mnB}[this/k, \overline{p_1}/\overline{y}, \overline{p_2}/\overline{z}, return/f] \Rightarrow S \downarrow \{k, x, \overline{z}\}[x/f] \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### WP Calculus

$$[\text{method call - lr/lo]} \frac{S \downarrow \{\overline{z} \setminus x\} = \emptyset \quad \text{true} + (k \neq \text{null} \land R_{mn_{B}}[\text{this}/k, \overline{p_{1}}/\overline{y}, \text{return}/f]) \Rightarrow S \downarrow \{k, x, \overline{z}\}[x/f]}{\left( \begin{array}{c} Q_{mn_{A}}[\text{this}/k, \overline{p_{1}}/\overline{y}] \\ (R_{mn_{A}}[\text{this}/k, \overline{p_{1}}/\overline{y}] \Rightarrow C[x/f]) \end{array} \right) \\ + \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} k \neq \text{null} \\ k \neq \text{null} \\ Q_{mn_{B}}[\text{this}/k, \overline{p_{1}}/\overline{y}, \overline{p_{2}}/\overline{z}] \end{array} \right) \\ & \\ S - \{k, x, \overline{z}\} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

```
class Math {
1
2
        public static pure int abs(int x)
3
            ensures ! return : neg
4
        {
5
            if (x > 0) return x;
6
            else return -x;
7
       }
8
  }
```



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

$$wp\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{if } (x > 0) \text{ return } x;\\ \text{else return } -x \end{array}, !\text{return : neg}\right) =$$



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

$$wp\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{if } (x > 0) \text{ return } x;\\ \text{else return } -x \end{array}, !\text{return : neg}\right) =$$

$$wp\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{if } (\varepsilon) \ ST_1 \\ \text{else } ST_2 \end{array}, C+S\right) = \varepsilon \Rightarrow wp\left(ST_1, C+S\right) \land \neg \varepsilon \Rightarrow wp\left(ST_2, C+S\right)$$



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

$$wp \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{if } (x > 0) \text{ return } x; \\ \text{else return } -x \end{array}, !\text{return : neg} \right) =$$

#### [conditional]

$$wp\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{if } (\varepsilon) \ ST_1 \\ \text{else } ST_2 \end{array}, C+S\right) = \varepsilon \Rightarrow wp\left(ST_1, C+S\right) \land \neg \varepsilon \Rightarrow wp\left(ST_2, C+S\right)$$



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

$$> (x, 0) \Rightarrow wp (return x, \neg < (return, 0) + \emptyset)$$
  
=  $\land$   
 $\neg > (x, 0) \Rightarrow wp (return - x, \neg < (return, 0) + \emptyset)$ 

Goals



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

$$>(x,0) \Rightarrow wp (return x, \neg < (return, 0) + \emptyset)$$
  
=  $\land$   
 $\neg > (x,0) \Rightarrow wp (return - x, \neg < (return, 0) + \emptyset)$ 

Goals

$$[\texttt{pure return}]$$
wp(return  $\varepsilon$ ,  $C + S$ ) =  $R_{mn_A}[\texttt{return}/\varepsilon] + R_{mn_B}[\texttt{return}/\varepsilon]$ 



Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

**SOFT-PT 2010** 

Goals

[pure return]  $wp(return \varepsilon, C + S) = R_{mn_A}[return/\varepsilon] + R_{mn_B}[return/\varepsilon]$ 

$$>(x,0) \Rightarrow (\neg < (x,0) + \emptyset) > (x,0) \Rightarrow \neg < (x,0)$$

$$= \land \land \Rightarrow (x,0) \Rightarrow (\neg < (-x,0) + \emptyset) = \land \land \Rightarrow \neg < (-x,0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg > (x,0) \Rightarrow \neg < (-x,0)$$

Simpósio de Informática

Expected Results Specification Language Program Verification

### Example

Recall that the absolute value of a number is well specified iff:

Goals

{ } int abs(int x) { !return:neg }

Verification Condition Submitted to the SMT-Solver:

$$(\top + \emptyset) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} >(x, 0) \Rightarrow \neg < (x, 0) \\ \land \\ \neg > (x, 0) \Rightarrow \neg < (-x, 0) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \\ \equiv (\top + \emptyset) \Rightarrow (\top + \emptyset) = \\ (\top \Rightarrow \top) + \emptyset = \top + \emptyset$$



Implementation Challenges Future Work

### Implementation

The extension of the Java language is implemented using the Polyglot framework, which implements an extensible compiler for Java. [Nathaniel Nystrom, Michael Clarkson, and Andrew Myers]

Goals



http://www.cs.cornell.edu/Projects/polyglot/

http://pessoa.fct.unl.pt/tiago.santos/tools/specjava.zip



Implementation Challenges Future Work



Some challenges of this work:

- Extend WP calculus for the Java language
- Solve aliasing problem
- Completely define the specification language and its integration in Polyglot

Goals

• Integrate SMT-Solver in Polyglot



Implementation Challenges Future Work

Goals

## Future Work

- Dual Logic is new
  - Requires a theoretical study
- Extend WP Calculus
  - break statement
  - continue statement
  - Inheritance
- Extend Specification Support
  - Interface Specification
  - Core Java Specification
- Concurrency

